



INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL FOR LEGAL RESEARCH AND ANALYSIS

Open Access, Refereed Journal Multi Disciplinary
Peer Reviewed Edition :

www.ijlra.com

DISCLAIMER

No part of this publication may be reproduced or copied in any form by any means without prior written permission of Managing Editor of IJLRA. The views expressed in this publication are purely personal opinions of the authors and do not reflect the views of the Editorial Team of IJLRA.

Though every effort has been made to ensure that the information in Volume 2 Issue 7 is accurate and appropriately cited/referenced, neither the Editorial Board nor IJLRA shall be held liable or responsible in any manner whatsoever for any consequences for any action taken by anyone on the basis of information in the Journal.

Copyright © International Journal for Legal Research & Analysis

IJLRA

EDITORIAL TEAM

EDITORS

Megha Middha



Megha Middha, Assistant Professor of Law in Mody University of Science and Technology, Lakshmangarh, Sikar

Megha Middha, is working as an Assistant Professor of Law in Mody University of Science and Technology, Lakshmangarh, Sikar (Rajasthan). She has an experience in the teaching of almost 3 years. She has completed her graduation in BBA LL.B (H) from Amity University, Rajasthan (Gold Medalist) and did her post-graduation (LL.M in Business Laws) from NLSIU, Bengaluru. Currently, she is enrolled in a Ph.D. course in the Department of Law at Mohanlal Sukhadia University, Udaipur (Rajasthan). She wishes to excel in academics and research and contribute as much as she can to society. Through her interactions with the students, she tries to inculcate a sense of deep thinking power in her students and enlighten and guide them to the fact how they can bring a change to the society

Dr. Samrat Datta

Dr. Samrat Datta Seedling School of Law and Governance, Jaipur National University, Jaipur. Dr. Samrat Datta is currently associated with Seedling School of Law and Governance, Jaipur National University, Jaipur. Dr. Datta has completed his graduation i.e., B.A.LL.B. from Law College Dehradun, Hemvati Nandan Bahuguna Garhwal University, Srinagar, Uttarakhand. He is an alumnus of KIIT University, Bhubaneswar where he pursued his post-graduation (LL.M.) in Criminal Law and subsequently completed his Ph.D. in Police Law and Information Technology from the Pacific Academy of Higher Education and Research University, Udaipur in 2020. His area of interest and research is Criminal and Police Law. Dr. Datta has a teaching experience of 7 years in various law schools across North India and has held administrative positions like Academic Coordinator, Centre Superintendent for Examinations, Deputy Controller of Examinations, Member of the Proctorial Board



Dr. Namita Jain



Head & Associate Professor

School of Law, JECRC University, Jaipur Ph.D. (Commercial Law) LL.M., UGC -NET Post Graduation Diploma in Taxation law and Practice, Bachelor of Commerce.

Teaching Experience: 12 years, AWARDS AND RECOGNITION of Dr. Namita Jain are - ICF Global Excellence Award 2020 in the category of educationalist by I Can Foundation, India. India Women Empowerment Award in the category of "Emerging Excellence in Academics by Prime Time & Utkrisht Bharat Foundation, New Delhi.(2020). Conferred in FL Book of Top 21 Record Holders in the category of education by Fashion Lifestyle Magazine, New Delhi. (2020). Certificate of Appreciation for organizing and managing the Professional Development Training Program on IPR in Collaboration with Trade Innovations Services, Jaipur on March 14th, 2019

Mrs.S.Kalpana

Assistant professor of Law

Mrs.S.Kalpana, presently Assistant professor of Law, VelTech Rangarajan Dr. Sagunthala R & D Institute of Science and Technology, Avadi. Formerly Assistant professor of Law, Vels University in the year 2019 to 2020, Worked as Guest Faculty, Chennai Dr.Ambedkar Law College, Pudupakkam. Published one book. Published 8 Articles in various reputed Law Journals. Conducted 1 Moot court competition and participated in nearly 80 National and International seminars and webinars conducted on various subjects of Law. Did ML in Criminal Law and Criminal Justice Administration. 10 paper presentations in various National and International seminars. Attended more than 10 FDP programs. Ph.D. in Law pursuing.



Avinash Kumar



Avinash Kumar has completed his Ph.D. in International Investment Law from the Dept. of Law & Governance, Central University of South Bihar. His research work is on "International Investment Agreement and State's right to regulate Foreign Investment." He qualified UGC-NET and has been selected for the prestigious ICSSR Doctoral Fellowship. He is an alumnus of the Faculty of Law, University of Delhi. Formerly he has been elected as Students Union President of Law Centre-I, University of Delhi. Moreover, he completed his LL.M. from the University of Delhi (2014-16), dissertation on "Cross-border Merger & Acquisition"; LL.B. from the University of Delhi (2011-14), and B.A. (Hons.) from Maharaja Agrasen College, University of Delhi. He has also obtained P.G. Diploma in IPR from the Indian Society of International Law, New Delhi. He has qualified UGC - NET examination and has been awarded ICSSR - Doctoral Fellowship. He has published six-plus articles and presented 9 plus papers in national and international seminars/conferences. He participated in several workshops on research methodology and teaching and learning.

ABOUT US

INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL FOR LEGAL RESEARCH & ANALYSIS ISSN 2582-6433 is an Online Journal is Monthly, Peer Review, Academic Journal, Published online, that seeks to provide an interactive platform for the publication of Short Articles, Long Articles, Book Review, Case Comments, Research Papers, Essay in the field of Law & Multidisciplinary issue. Our aim is to upgrade the level of interaction and discourse about contemporary issues of law. We are eager to become a highly cited academic publication, through quality contributions from students, academics, professionals from the industry, the bar and the bench. INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL FOR LEGAL RESEARCH & ANALYSIS ISSN 2582-6433 welcomes contributions from all legal branches, as long as the work is original, unpublished and is in consonance with the submission guidelines.

THE ROLE OF POLITICAL PARTIES IN MOB LYNCHING: A COMPREHENSIVE ANALYSIS

AUTHORED BY - NIRBHAY KUMAR SHARMA

Research Scholar School Of Law, Raffles University Neemerana, Rajasthan

CO-AUTHOR - Dr. VIBHA SHRIVASTVA

Assistant Proffesor School Of Law, Raffles University Neemrana Rajasthan

Abstract:

This research paper aims to explore and analyze the role of political parties in the occurrence and perpetuation of mob lynching incidents. Mob lynching refers to the act of a group of people taking the law into their own hands, resulting in the extrajudicial killing of an individual or group. The study investigates the factors that contribute to mob lynching incidents and examines the influence of political parties on shaping public sentiment, promoting polarization, and exacerbating social divisions. The findings highlight the importance of understanding the complex interplay between political parties, socio-political dynamics, and mob violence, emphasizing the need for effective policy measures and social awareness campaigns to address this grave issue

Introduction

Mob lynching has become a disturbingly frequent phenomenon in several countries, attracting widespread attention and concern from policymakers, academics, human rights activists, and the general public. Incidents of mob violence have resulted in the loss of innocent lives, the erosion of social fabric, and the degradation of democratic values. As the problem continues to persist, researchers have sought to understand the underlying factors and dynamics that contribute to these heinous acts. One critical area of investigation is the role of political parties in shaping public sentiment and exacerbating societal divisions, which can fuel mob lynching incidents.

Significance of the Study:

The study of the role of political parties in mob lynching holds substantial significance for several reasons:

1. **Identification of root causes:** By examining the involvement of political parties, researchers can identify and analyze the underlying political, social, and economic factors that fuel mob lynching. Understanding these root causes is vital for formulating effective and targeted interventions to address the issue at its core.
2. **Accountability and transparency:** Political parties play a central role in shaping public discourse and mobilizing their supporters. Investigating their potential involvement in or influence on mob lynching incidents helps hold them accountable for their actions and rhetoric, promoting transparency and responsible leadership.
3. **Strengthening democratic institutions:** The study's findings can shed light on the impact of political polarization and identity-based politics on mob violence. Addressing these issues can help strengthen democratic institutions, promote inclusive governance, and foster a culture of dialogue and compromise.
4. **Policy formulation and implementation:** Understanding the nexus between political parties and mob lynching allows policymakers to devise evidence-based policies and measures aimed at preventing and mitigating such incidents. This includes legal reforms, law enforcement strategies, and measures to counter the spread of misinformation and hate speech.
5. **Social cohesion and human rights:** Mob lynching is a gross violation of human rights, including the right to life, dignity, and due process. Analyzing the role of political parties can contribute to broader efforts to safeguard human rights, promote social cohesion, and foster a culture of tolerance and respect for diversity.
6. **Global implications:** Mob lynching incidents are not confined to a particular region or country; they have occurred in diverse societies worldwide. The study's findings can have broader implications for other regions grappling with similar challenges, guiding international efforts to combat mob violence.
7. **Prevention and awareness:** Understanding how political parties contribute to mob lynching can help raise public awareness about the dangers of unchecked rhetoric and polarization. It can encourage

citizens to actively participate in countering hate speech, misinformation, and violence, thus fostering a safer and more inclusive society.

Role of political parties in mob lynching

Exploration of Political Party Influence on Mob Violence:

Political parties can exert significant influence on mob violence through various means, ranging from the rhetoric used in their campaigns to the mobilization of their supporters. Here are some ways in which political parties can influence mob violence:

1. **Rhetoric and Identity Politics:** Political parties may use identity-based rhetoric to appeal to certain communities or stoke fear and animosity towards others. By exploiting existing divisions and promoting an "us versus them" narrative, they can create an atmosphere conducive to mob violence.
2. **Incitement and Hate Speech:** Some political leaders or supporters may engage in hate speech or incite violence against specific groups or individuals. This can fuel anger and animosity, leading to acts of mob violence as followers feel justified in taking the law into their own hands.
3. **Opportunistic Encouragement:** Political parties might exploit specific incidents to further their political agenda, encouraging their supporters to engage in violent acts against perceived adversaries.
4. **Patronage and Protection:** Certain political parties may protect or provide patronage to vigilante groups or individuals involved in mob violence, either as a show of support or as a means of gaining electoral advantage.
5. **Organizational Support:** Political parties may provide logistical and financial support to mobilize supporters for violent acts, thereby expanding the scale and impact of mob violence.

Political rhetoric and polarization

Political rhetoric and polarization play a significant role in shaping public perception and attitudes regarding mob lynching incidents. The language used by politicians and political parties can influence public sentiment, either exacerbating or mitigating tensions surrounding these violent acts. Here are some ways in which political rhetoric and polarization impact the discourse on mob lynching:

1. **Exploiting identity politics:** In societies with diverse ethnic, religious, or cultural backgrounds,

politicians may use mob lynching incidents to exploit existing divisions for their own political gains. By aligning themselves with particular groups, they may use inflammatory language to demonize or scapegoat other communities, thereby deepening animosity and increasing the potential for mob violence.

2. Dog-whistle politics: Politicians may employ coded language or dog whistles to convey messages that appeal to particular groups without explicitly endorsing violence. This kind of rhetoric can fuel underlying prejudices and create an environment where mob lynching becomes more likely.
3. Misinformation and fake news: Political parties, or their supporters, may spread false information or conspiracy theories related to mob lynching incidents. Misleading narratives can incite fear, anger, and a desire for retribution among their followers, leading to an atmosphere conducive to mob violence.
4. Polarizing discourse: Political polarization can lead to a stark "us versus them" mentality, where one group is pitted against another. Mob lynching incidents may be framed in such a way that the actions of a few individuals are generalized to an entire community, heightening tensions and perpetuating cycles of violence.
5. Lack of condemnation or selective response: How political parties respond to mob lynching incidents can be indicative of their stance on violence and social cohesion. If parties fail to condemn such acts uniformly or if they selectively condemn incidents based on the victim's identity, it can further deepen divisions and erode public trust.
6. Opportunistic positioning: Some political parties might opportunistically use mob lynching incidents to divert attention from other issues or to distract the public from their own shortcomings. By highlighting specific incidents while downplaying others, they can manipulate public perception and sentiment.
7. Lack of dialogue and cooperation: Polarization often results in a breakdown of constructive dialogue and cooperation between parties. When political adversaries refuse to engage in meaningful discussions or work together to address the root causes of mob lynching, finding effective solutions becomes more challenging.

Addressing the Impact of Political Rhetoric and Polarization:

To counter the negative influence of political rhetoric and polarization regarding mob lynching, several steps can be taken:

1. **Responsible leadership:** Political leaders should promote responsible and inclusive rhetoric, emphasizing the importance of rule of law, due process, and respect for human rights. They should condemn all acts of violence unequivocally and avoid inflammatory language.
2. **Media literacy and fact-checking:** Promoting media literacy and fact-checking initiatives can help citizens discern between genuine information and misinformation, reducing the impact of fake news that fuels polarization.
3. **Inter-party dialogue:** Encouraging dialogue between political parties can lead to more nuanced and informed discussions on the issue, facilitating collaborative efforts to combat mob lynching.
4. **Public awareness campaigns:** Engaging in public awareness campaigns that highlight the dangers of mob violence and the need for unity and understanding can foster a more cohesive and tolerant society.
5. **Strengthening institutions:** Reinforcing democratic institutions, ensuring the independence of the judiciary, and strengthening law enforcement agencies can help prevent mob lynching and uphold the rule of law.

In conclusion, political rhetoric and polarization can significantly influence the public's perception and response to mob lynching incidents. Responsible and inclusive leadership, media literacy, inter-party dialogue, public awareness campaigns, and strengthened institutions are crucial in mitigating the impact of polarization and combating mob violence effectively.

Exploitation of identity politics

The exploitation of identity politics regarding mob lynching is a concerning phenomenon that occurs when political actors use the identity, ethnicity, religion, or other group affiliations of victims or perpetrators to further their own political agenda. By framing mob lynching incidents along identity lines, political parties or individuals seek to mobilize support from their own community, deepen social divisions, and polarize society for their electoral or ideological gains. Here are some ways in which identity politics is exploited regarding mob lynching:

1. **Dividing communities:** Political actors may exploit incidents of mob lynching to exacerbate pre-existing divisions between different communities. By emphasizing the identity of the victims or perpetrators, they perpetuate a "we versus them" narrative, pitting one community against another and fuelling animosity.

2. Fear-mongering: Exploiting identity politics can involve spreading fear and insecurity within specific communities, leading them to believe that they are under threat from others. This fear-mongering can lead to a heightened sense of vulnerability and the perception that self defence or vigilantism is necessary.
3. Inciting retaliation: Politicians may use identity-based rhetoric to incite retaliation from their community following an incident of mob lynching involving someone from their group. This retaliation further perpetuates a cycle of violence and retribution, deepening the social divide.
4. Shaping narratives: Politicians and media outlets can shape narratives around mob lynching incidents to suit their political objectives. By selectively highlighting or downplaying incidents based on the identity of the victims or perpetrators, they can control the public discourse and manipulate public sentiment.
5. Consolidating political support: Exploiting identity politics can be an effective tool to consolidate support within a specific community. By portraying themselves as defenders of the community's interests against perceived threats, politicians can rally their base and strengthen their political position.
6. Deflecting attention: In some cases, politicians may use identity politics and mob lynching incidents as a distraction from other pressing issues or failures of governance. By focusing public attention on divisive issues, they divert scrutiny from their own shortcomings.

Consequences of Identity Politics in Mob Lynching:

The exploitation of identity politics in the context of mob lynching can have several detrimental consequences:

1. Escalation of violence: By sowing division and inciting retaliation, identity-based politics can escalate mob violence, leading to further loss of life and property.
2. Erosion of social cohesion: Identity-based polarization weakens social bonds and erodes the fabric of trust and cooperation in society, hindering its ability to progress harmoniously.
3. Undermining rule of law: Politicians who endorse or implicitly support mob violence based on identity undermine the rule of law, promoting vigilantism over legal and judicial processes.
4. Human rights violations: Exploitation of identity politics often leads to human rights abuses, as individuals or groups are targeted based on their identity rather than their actions.

Addressing the Exploitation of Identity Politics:

To address the exploitation of identity politics regarding mob lynching, the following steps can be taken:

1. **Responsible leadership:** Political leaders must refrain from using identity-based rhetoric that fuels polarization and violence, instead promoting messages of unity, inclusivity, and respect for human rights.
2. **Media accountability:** Media outlets should prioritize factual reporting and avoid sensationalizing incidents based on identity, thus preventing the amplification of divisive narratives.
3. **Public education:** Initiatives focused on promoting tolerance, understanding, and respect for diversity can counter the divisive impact of identity politics.
4. **Strengthening institutions:** Bolstering institutions that uphold the rule of law, such as an independent judiciary and impartial law enforcement, is essential to prevent mob lynching and ensure justice.
5. **Civil society engagement:** Engaging civil society organizations in promoting peace, dialogue, and inter-community understanding can foster social cohesion and counter the impact of identity-based politics.

Case studies: Political parties and mob lynching incidents

Case Study 1: India

Background:

India has witnessed several mob lynching incidents in recent years, with religious, caste, and communal factors often playing a significant role. In many instances, political parties have been accused of exploiting identity politics to mobilize their supporters and incite violence.

Incident:

In 2018, a series of mob lynching incidents occurred across various states in India, targeting individuals from minority communities, particularly Muslims and Dalits. The victims were often accused of cow slaughter or possessing beef, a sensitive issue in India due to the reverence of cows in Hinduism.

Political Party Involvement:

Several incidents were linked to the rhetoric of certain political leaders and parties. Some leaders associated with right-wing nationalist parties made inflammatory statements against those

consuming beef and called for strict action against alleged cow slaughterers. These statements created a climate of fear and hostility, with vigilante groups feeling emboldened to take the law into their own hands.

Impact:

The incidents sparked outrage and protests across the country, highlighting the dangerous consequences of political parties exploiting identity politics for electoral gains. The violence not only resulted in loss of lives but also deepened social divisions and damaged the country's secular fabric.

Response:

Civil society organizations, activists, and opposition parties condemned the violence and called for stricter measures against those inciting hatred and violence. The government faced criticism for its perceived failure to take prompt and decisive action against the perpetrators.

Case Study 2: Myanmar

Background:

Myanmar has a history of communal tensions between the Buddhist majority and minority Rohingya Muslim community. Mob violence in Myanmar has been characterized by allegations of state-sponsored persecution and political manipulation.

Incident:

In 2012, a series of violent clashes occurred between Buddhists and Rohingya Muslims in Rakhine State, resulting in numerous deaths and the displacement of thousands of people. Subsequent incidents in 2017 led to a large-scale military crackdown, leading to a mass exodus of Rohingya refugees into neighbouring Bangladesh.

Political Party Involvement:

There have been allegations of political parties and military officials exploiting communal tensions for political purposes. The Rohingya issue has been used to rally support among the Buddhist majority, with some politicians promoting a nationalist agenda that targeted the Rohingya as outsiders and a threat to the country's identity.

Impact:

The violence and persecution of the Rohingya community have drawn international condemnation,

with human rights organizations accusing the Myanmar government and military of gross human rights violations. The crisis has strained Myanmar's relations with the international community and tarnished the country's reputation.

Response:

The international community, including the United Nations and various human rights organizations, has called for accountability and justice for the Rohingya. Sanctions and diplomatic pressure have been imposed on Myanmar, leading to some isolated responses from the government, but the issue remains highly contentious.

Conclusion

By examining the role of political parties in mob lynching incidents, this research paper seeks to contribute to a deeper understanding of the complex factors that contribute to this social issue. The study emphasizes the need for collaborative efforts between political parties, civil society, and government institutions to combat mob violence effectively. Furthermore, it underscores the significance of promoting social cohesion, strengthening the rule of law, and safeguarding democratic values to prevent such heinous acts from occurring in the future.

Case studies highlight how political parties can exploit identity politics to incite mob violence and deepen social divisions. The consequences of such exploitation are severe, leading to loss of lives, displacement of communities, erosion of democratic values, and damage to a country's reputation. Addressing the issue requires a comprehensive approach that promotes responsible leadership, strengthens the rule of law, fosters inter-community dialogue, and encourages accountability for those inciting violence. It also requires a collective effort from civil society, the media, and the international community to counter the dangerous impact of political parties manipulating identity politics in mob lynching incidents.